HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 615 of 2005 (SS)

Rajendra Singh ..... Petitioners

Versus

1. State of Uttaranchal
2. The District Basic Education Officer, Nainital
3. District Basic Education Officer, Udham Singh Nagar
4. Additional Director of Education (Basic) Kumaon Region, Nainital ..... Respondents

Sri H.M. Raturi, Advocate for the petitioner.
Standing Counsel for the respondents.

Dated: 18.5.2005

Hon'ble Rajesh Tandon, J.

Heard the learned counsel for the parties.

By the present writ petition the petitioner has prayed for a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to transfer the petitioner in any junior High School of the District Udham Singh Nagar in compliance of the order of final allocation dated 17.11.1999.

Briefly stated, the petitioner was appointed as Assistant Teacher in the Primary School, Ropa, District Nainital by means of the order of the District Basic Education Officer, Nainital dated 21.10.1995. Subsequently in the year 1995, separate District Udham Singh Nagar came into existence and options were invited from all the Government servants for their transfer

to newly created district. Pursuant to that the petitioner also applied for his transfer to District Udham Singh Nagar and submitted his option to the District Basis Education Officer, Nainital on 7.6.1996. The respondents prepared final list of the employees opted for District Udham Singh Nagar on 17.11.1999, which was duly approved by the Secretary, U.P. Basic Education Board, Allahabad. The petitioner has submitted that thereafter he moved several representations before the respondents for getting him transfer to District Udham Singh Nagar but no heed has been paid by the respondents on the representation of the petitioner.

In view of the above facts, I direct the respondents to decide the representation of the petitioner within four weeks after the receipt of the certified copy of this order. Petitioner to place a copy of his representation along with the copy of this order before the respondent no.4.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. No order as to costs.

18.5.2005 **Rajesh Tandon, J.**

*Dhyani